# Evaluate Dynamic Source Routing Protocol Performance in Wireless MANET

**Ashwin Perti**
Department of Information Technology, ABES Engineering College, Ghaziabad
Email: ashwinperti@abes.ac.in

--------------------------------------------------------------**ABSTRACT**--------------------------------------------------------------
**Routing protocols used in ad hoc networks must automatically adjust to environments that can vary between the extremes of high mobility with low bandwidth, and low mobility with high bandwidth. In my research paper I have analyzed a routing protocol called Dynamic Source Routing (DSR). At the ed of my Research paper result of its unique design, the protocol adapts quickly to routing changes when node movement is frequent, yet requires little or no overhead during periods in which nodes move less frequently. By graphical form a detailed analysis of DSR's behaviour in a variety of situations, this paper generalizes the lessons learned from DSR so that they can be applied to the many other new routing protocols that have adopted the basic DSR framework. In the DSR protocol, a backup route will be initiated to transfer data (in route cache) when the initial route is broken. However, a backup route affects the overall network performance such as end-to-end delay, etc. In this paper, I showed the simulation results of the dynamic source routing protocol based on it's throughput, pause time, packet received, drop packet ratio, end to end delay, packet delivery fraction, and routing overhead. This results shows that dynamic source routing protocol is an efficient protocol to be used in Ad Hoc network.**

Keywords  -  **ADHOC  Network,  DSR,  PDF,  Packet  Dropped,  Throughput.**
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

## 1.  INTRODUCTION

**I**n  an ad hoc network, mobile nodes converse with each other using multi-hop wireless links. There is no motionless infrastructure such as bottom stations. Each node in the network also acts as a router, forwarding information packets for other nodes. A central confront in the design of ad hoc networks is the growth of dynamic routing protocols that can competently find routes among two communicating nodes. The routing protocol should be able to keep up with the elevated degree of node mobility that often modifies the network topology drastically and impulsively. Such networks have been considered in the past in relation to cover research, often under the name of packet radio networks. Recently there has been a transformed interest in this field due to the frequent availability of low-cost laptops and palmtops with radio edge. Interest is also partly fuelled by the growing passion in running common  network  protocols  in  active  wireless environments  without  the  obligation  of  specific infrastructures.

Our goal is to hold out a systematic performance study of energetic routing protocol for ad hoc networks — *Dynamic Source Routing protocol (DSR)* DSR share an interesting  frequent  characteristic  that  commence routing activities on a —on demand source. This *reactive* character of this protocol is an important departure from more traditional *proactive* protocols, which find routes among all source-destination pairs regardless of utilize or need of such routes. The key motivation after the design of on-demand protocols is the decrease of the routing load. High routing pack

usually has a significant impact on low bandwidth wireless links. DSR share the on-demand performance in that it initiate routing behaviour only in the presence of data packets in require of a route. In particular, DSR uses source steering. DSR does not rely on any timer-based actions. One of our goals in this study is to take out the relative merits of this apparatus. The motivation is that an improved understanding of the virtual merits will serve as a cornerstone for expansion of more successful  routing  protocols  for  wireless  ad  hoc networks. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the following segment, we briefly review the DSR protocol. We present the related work cited in literature. We have done a simulation model and results to perform detailed evaluation of the DSR protocol, focusing on DSR protocol performance based on mobility  effect.  This  lays  down  many  of  the circumstance of the performance study. The section concludes the mobility effects on different pause time of DSR protocol.

### DESCRIPTION OF PROTOCOL

The key characteristic of DSR is the use of source routing. That is, the sender knows the absolute hop-by-hop route to the purpose. These routes are stored in a *direction cache.* The information packets carry the basic route in the packet descriptor. When a node in the ad hoc network effort to send an information packet to a destination for which it does not previously know the route,  it  uses  a  *route  discovery*  procedure  to dynamically resolve such a route. Route detection works by flooding the network with route demand (RREQ)  packets.  Each  node  getting  a  RREQ rebroadcasts it, unless it is the purpose or it has a route

to the purpose in its route cache. Such a node replies to the RREQ with a direction reply (RREP) packet that is routed back to the original source. RREQ and RREP packets are also source routed. The RREQ builds up the path negotiate so far. The RREP routes back to the source by traversing this conduit backwards. The route conceded back of the RREP packet is cached on the source for future use. If any connection on a source route is broken, the source node is notified using a route fault (RERR) packet. The source eradicates any route using this connection from its cache. A new route finding process must be commenced by the source, if this route is motionless needed. DSR makes very destructive use of source routing and direction caching. No special apparatus to detect routing loops is desirable. Also, any forwarding node accumulation the source route in a packet it forwards for probable future use. Several extra optimizations have been projected and have been estimated to be very effective by the authors of the protocol, as illustrated in the following. (i) *Salvage*: A transitional node can use an alternate route for its possessing cache, when an information packet meets a failed link on its source route. (ii) *Gratuitous route repair:* A source node getting a RERR packet piggybacks the RERR in the subsequent RREQ. This helps hygienic up the caches of other nodes in the network that might have the failed link in one of the cached source routes. (iii) *Promiscuous listen*: When a node overhears a packet not address to itself, it checks if the packet might be routed via itself to gain a shorter direction. If so, the node propels a *gratuitous* RREP to the source of the route with this new, improved route. Aside from this, promiscuous eavesdrop helps a node to learn different routes lacking directly participating in the routing procedure.[9]

**Table 1: Pause Time Vs Throughput (bits/sec)**

| Pause time (sec) | Throughput |
|---|---|
| | DSR |
| 100 | 163.12 |
| 200 | 191.11 |
| 300 | 195.28 |
| 400 | 244.05 |
| 500 | 263.13 |



Fig 1: Pause Time Vs Throughput (bits/sec)

## 3. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

### 3.1 Throughput VS Pause Time

The pause time was varied and the throughput was changes at every pause time during complete simulation period whose quantity was as in Table.1 & Fig.1 . DSR shows superior throughput when the pause time is increased each time. The results shows in the figure each time we have changes the pause time and the throughput increased when the pause time increased.

**Table 2: Pause Time Vs Packets Dropped**

| Pause     time | Packets |
|---|---|
| | DSR |
| 100 | 37896 |
| 200 | 27959 |
| 300 | 22271 |
| 400 | 16331 |
| 500 | 12702 |



**Fig.2: Pause Time Vs Packets Dropped**

### 3.2 Packet Dropped VS Pause Time

The pause time was varied and the packet dropped was changes at every pause time during complete simulation period whose quantity was as in Table.2 & Fig.2 . DSR performs well when its pause time is greater and number of connections increased. Each packet that the MAC layer is unable to deliver is dropped since there are no alternate routes. DSR allow packets to stay in the send buffer for 400 seconds for route discovery and once the route is discovered, data packets are sent on that route to be delivered at the destination. DSR performs well when its pause time is greater and number of connections increased. Each packet that the MAC layer is unable to deliver is dropped since there are no alternate routes.

**Table 3: Pause Time vs Packet Delivery Fraction**

| Pause    time | PacketDelivery |
|---------------|----------------|
|               | DSR            |
| 100           | 108.7          |
| 200           | 96.92          |
| 300           | 68.59          |
| 400           | 67.33          |
| 500           | 45.85          |



**Fig.3: Pause Time vs Packet Delivery Fraction**

### 3.3 PDF VS Pause Time

The pause time was varied and the packet delivery fraction was changes at every pause time during complete simulation period whose quantity was as in Table.3 & Fig.3 . Packet delivery ratio of DSR is very less at lower pause time (high mobility). DSR perform best among all at high mobility. The reason for having better packet delivery ratio of DSR is that allow packets to stay in the send buffer for 400 seconds for route

discovery and once the route is discovered, data packets are sent on that route to be delivered at the destination.

**Table 4: Pause Time vs End-End Delay**

| Pause time (sec) | End To End delay |
|------------------|------------------|
|                  | DSR              |
| 100              | 5360.13          |
| 200              | 2776.07          |
| 300              | 2588.76          |
| 400              | 291.16           |
| 500              | 123.39           |



**Fig.4: Pause Time vs End-End Delay**

### 3.4 End to End Delay VS Pause Time

The pause time was varied and the E-E delay was changes at every pause time during complete simulation period whose quantity was as in Table.4 & Fig.4 . High end-to-end delay can justified as DSR deliver more packets at the destination because this protocol try to provide some sort of guarantee for the packets to be delivered at the destination by compromising at the cost of delay.

## 4.   Conclusion

In this whole paper we have evaluate the dynamic source routing protocol   performance . We have implemented and carried out comprehensive analysis of uni-path on-demand routing protocol (DSR) using NS-2 simulator. Performance of Dynamic Source Routing protocol was carried out under identical traffic load and mobility patterns condition. This performance result is based on the different parameters such as throughput, packet delivery fraction, packet dropped, and routing overhead and end to end delay. The entire study on Dynamic Source Routing Protocol in Wireless Mobile

Ad Hoc networks concludes with the performance of DSR which uses source routing is  it delivers more packets at the destination with lowest routing overhead.

**REFERENCES**

[1]. M Ozaki, Y. Adachi, Y. Iwahori, and N. Ishii, Application of fuzzy theory to writer recognition of Chinese characters, *International Journal of Modelling and Simulation, 18*(2), 1998, 112-116.

[2]. Ahmed, T.Nuruzzaman, "Modification  of DSR & Its  implementation in  ad  hoc  city", IEEE conference   publications, December 2007.

[3]. Ahmad, S.; Awan, I.; Waqqas, A.;    Ahmad, "Performance Analysis of DSR & Extended  DSR Protocols", IEEE  conference  publications, May 2008.

[4]. Aissani, M.; Senouci, M.R.; Demigna, W.; Mellouk,  "Optimizations  and  Performance Study of the          Dynamic  Source  Routing Protocol", IEEE conference       publications, June 2007.

[5]. Al-Mekhlafi, Z.G.; Hassan, "Evaluation study on routing information protocol and dynamic source routing  in  Ad-Hoc  network", IEEE conference publications, July 2011.

[6]. Anang, K..A. "The  performance  of  dynamic source routing protocol to path loss models at carrier frequencies above 2 GHz", Communication Technology    (ICCT), IEEE, September 2011.

[7]. Cheng Yong; Huang Chuanhe; Shi Wenming, "Trusted  Dynamic  Source  Routing  Protocol", IEEE       publications, September 2007.

*[8]*. C. Perkins, E. Royer, and S. Das. "Ad hoc on-demand Distance Vector (AODV) routing" RFC Editor, United States, July 2003.

[9]. Dou Niu, Yan Zhang, Yanjuan Zhao, Mei Yang, "Research  on  Routing  Protocols  in  Ad  Hoc Networks",    IEEE conference publications, December 2009. .

**About the Author:**

**Ashwin Perti** is currently working as a Associate Professor in ABES Engineering College. He is serving in this college since 14 February 2005. He has published more than 10 Research papers in National and International Conferences as well as some of the reputed journals.